

IV. Confidence intervals for impulse-response functions

Write the VAR as

$$\mathbf{y}_t = (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes \mathbf{x}_t) \boldsymbol{\pi} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$$

$$\mathbf{y}_t = (y_{1t}, y_{2t}, \dots, y_{nt})'$$

(n×1)

$$\mathbf{x}_t = (1, \mathbf{y}'_{t-1}, \mathbf{y}'_{t-2}, \dots, \mathbf{y}'_{t-p})'$$

(k×1)

$$k = np + 1$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\boldsymbol{\pi}'_1, \boldsymbol{\pi}'_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{\pi}'_n)'$$

(nk×1)

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} = E(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_t)$$

(n×n)

maximum likelihood estimates:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_j = \left( \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}'_t \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{x}_t y_{jt} \right)$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{jt} = y_{jt} - \mathbf{x}'_t \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_j$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_t \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}'_t$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \approx N\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\Omega} \otimes \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}'_t \right]^{-1}\right)$$

Two interpretations:

(1) classical: There is some true fixed  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ . If we used this rule for estimation in a number of different samples like this one, this would be the distribution of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$  across these samples.

$$\boldsymbol{\pi} | \boldsymbol{\Omega}, \mathbf{y}_T, \mathbf{y}_{T-1}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{-p+1}$$

$$\sim N\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega} \otimes \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}'_t \right]^{-1}\right)$$

Two interpretations:

(2) Bayesian: The econometrician does not know the true  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$  but summarizes uncertainty with a probability distribution

With either interpretation, one could summarize properties of this distribution (or functions of these parameters like the impulse-response coefficients) by simulating draws from the distribution and finding their range

Example 13: calculating one-standard deviation bands for impulse-response  
Program generates draws from posterior distribution of  $\pi$  and  $\omega$ , calculates upper 16% and lower 16% bounds for each shock  $j$ , response  $i$ , horizon  $h$

